

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/965,738 09/27/2001 Timothy J. O'Brien 40715-260477 3856

> 7590 06/10/2003

Butler, Snow, O'Mara, Stevens & Cannada, PLLC 6075 Poplar Avenue, Suite 500 P.O. Box 171443 Memphis, TN 38119

EXAMINER SCHMIDT, MARY M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1635 12

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
•	Office Action Summary		09/965,738		O'BRIEN ET AL.		
			Examiner		Art Unit		
			Mary M. Schmid		1635		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
		, and the state of					
		closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213					
	4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s)	n from considera	ation.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to re Application Papers	striction and/or el	ection requireme	ent.			
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is	ed to by the Exam	iner.				
		Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objec						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	 Certified copies of the pr 	nave been recei	ved.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
,	rachment(s)						
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14)	iew (PTO-948) 49) Paper No(s)	5) T N	nterview Summary (F Notice of Informal Pat Other:	PTO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-	· · 152)	
U.S	S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Actio	n Summary	1	Part of Paper No. 12		

Application/Control Number: 09/965,738 Page 2

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-19, 27 and 30-33, drawn to CA125 polypeptide compositions, classifiable in class 530, subclasses 300 or 350.
 - II. Claims 20-26, drawn to CA125 nucleic acid encoding compositions, vectors and cells comprising said compositions and methods of expression of said compositions, classifiable in class 435, subclasses 6, 325, 366, 320.1 and 375; and in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - III. Claims 28-29, drawn to antibodies to CA125 epitopes, classifiable in class 530, subclass 387.1.
 - IV. Claim 34, drawn to antisense to CA125, classifiable in class 536, subclasses 24.3 and 24.5.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I, II, III and IV are unrelated from each other. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation. The inventions are distinct since each invention is drawn to a composition having a different chemical structure and

Application/Control Number: 09/965,738 Page 3

Art Unit: 1635

classification. The proteins of Group I are made of amino acids that encode for CA125; the genes of Group II are made of nucleic acids that encode for CA125; the antibodies of Group III are made of amino acids but instead of encoding CA125, they operate to bind to the CA125 protein; the antisense of Group IV are nucleic acids but they do not encode CA125 since they are the complementary nucleic acid sequence and are typically short oligonucleotides which bind and inhibit the CA125 coding sequence.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their divergent classification and recognized divergent subject matter, and the search required for each of Group I, II, III or IV is not required for the other Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (1) patentably distinct combinations of amino acid sequences; (2) patentably distinct combinations of nucleic acid sequences.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Specifically, if Group I is elected above, applicant is required to pick a single combination of amino acid sequences for examination on the merits; if Group II is elected above,

Application/Control Number: 09/965,738 Page 4

Art Unit: 1635

applicant is required to pick a single combination of nucleic acid sequences for examination on the merits; if Group III is elected above, applicant is required to pick a single amino acid epitope for examination on the merits; if Group IV is elected above, applicant is required to pick a single nucleic acid target sequence for examination on the merits. Currently, claims 1-19 and 27-33 are generic for amino acid sequences; claims 20-26 and 34 are generic for nucleic acid sequences.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,738

Art Unit: 1635

Page 5

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 4.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 5.

should be directed to Mary M. Schmidt, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4471.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John LeGuyader, may be reached at (703) 308-0447.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Katrina Turner, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3413.

M. M. Schmidt June 6, 2003

OHN L. LøGUYADER